Case 2:	3-cv-05693-PSG-GJS Document 695 File	d 05/16/17 Page 1 of 8 Page ID #:25221
1	GRADSTEIN & MARZANO. P.C. HENRY GRADSTEIN (89747)	E-FILED5/16/17
2	hgradstein@gradstein.com MARYANN R. MARZANO (96867)	JS-6
3	mmarzano@gradstein.com 6310 San Vicente Blvd Suite 510	50 0
4	Los Angeles. California 90048	
5	T: 323-776-3100	
6	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. STEPHEN E. MORRISSEY (187865)	
7	smorrissey@susmangodfrey.com STEVEN G. SKLAVER (237612)	
8	ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com KALPANA SRINIVASAN (237460)	
9	ksrinivasan@susmangodfrey.com 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029	
10	Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029 T: 310-789-3100 F: 310-789-3150	
11	1. 510-709-51001. 510-709-5150	
12	[Additional Counsel for Plaintiff on Signa Co-Lead Class Counsel	ature Page]
13	Co-Leaa Class Counsel	
14	LINITED STATES	
	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
15		DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
15 16	CENTRAL DISTRI	
15 16 17	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
15 16 17 18	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA N DIVISION
15 16 17	CENTRAL DISTRI WESTER FLO & EDDIE, INC., a California corporation, individually and on behalf	CT OF CALIFORNIA N DIVISION
15 16 17 18 19	CENTRAL DISTRIC WESTERN FLO & EDDIE, INC., a California corporation, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CT OF CALIFORNIA N DIVISION Case No. 2:13-cv-05693-PSG-GJS [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL
15 16 17 18 19 20	CENTRAL DISTRIC WESTERN FLO & EDDIE, INC., a California corporation, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. SIRIUS XM RADIO, INC., a Delaware	CT OF CALIFORNIA N DIVISION Case No. 2:13-cv-05693-PSG-GJS [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CENTRAL DISTRIC WESTERN FLO & EDDIE, INC., a California corporation, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. SIRIUS XM RADIO, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,	CT OF CALIFORNIA N DIVISION Case No. 2:13-cv-05693-PSG-GJS [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CENTRAL DISTRIC WESTERN FLO & EDDIE, INC., a California corporation, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. SIRIUS XM RADIO, INC., a Delaware	CT OF CALIFORNIA N DIVISION Case No. 2:13-cv-05693-PSG-GJS [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CENTRAL DISTRIC WESTERN FLO & EDDIE, INC., a California corporation, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. SIRIUS XM RADIO, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,	CT OF CALIFORNIA N DIVISION Case No. 2:13-cv-05693-PSG-GJS [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CENTRAL DISTRIC WESTERN FLO & EDDIE, INC., a California corporation, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. SIRIUS XM RADIO, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,	CT OF CALIFORNIA N DIVISION Case No. 2:13-cv-05693-PSG-GJS [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CENTRAL DISTRIC WESTERN FLO & EDDIE, INC., a California corporation, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. SIRIUS XM RADIO, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,	CT OF CALIFORNIA N DIVISION Case No. 2:13-cv-05693-PSG-GJS [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	CENTRAL DISTRIC WESTERN FLO & EDDIE, INC., a California corporation, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. SIRIUS XM RADIO, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,	CT OF CALIFORNIA N DIVISION Case No. 2:13-cv-05693-PSG-GJS [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL

4885338v1/015185

WHEREAS Plaintiff Flo & Eddie, Inc. ("Plaintiff," for itself and on behalf of
the proposed Settlement Class, entered into a Stipulated Class Action Settlement
(the "Stipulation," together with the Exhibits annexed thereto, the "Settlement")
with Defendant Sirius XM Radio Inc. ("Sirius XM" or "Defendant").

WHEREAS, on January 27, 2017 the Court entered its Order granting 5 preliminary approval of the proposed settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order") 6 (Dkt. #676). The Preliminary Approval Order, among other things, authorized 7 Plaintiff to disseminate Notice of the Settlement, the Final Approval Hearing, and 8 related matters to the Class. Notice was provided to the Class pursuant to the 9 Preliminary Approval Order on February 6, 2017, and the Court held a Final 10 Approval Hearing on May 8, 2017 at 1:30 p.m., at which time all interested persons 11 were afforded the opportunity to be heard. 12

WHEREAS, this Court has duly considered Plaintiff's motion, all papers and
evidence submitted in connection therewith, the Stipulation, and all of the
submissions and arguments presented at the Final Approval Hearing with respect to
the proposed Settlement.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND
DECREED as follows:

The capitalized terms used herein shall have the meanings set forth in
 the Settlement, Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Steven G. Sklaver in Support of
 Preliminary Approval of Settlement (Dkt. #666-4).

22 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the above23 captioned action ("Action") and over all settling Parties and all members of the
24 Settlement Class.

3. The Notice provided for and given to the Settlement Class: (i) was
provided and made in full compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order; (ii)
constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (iii) constituted

1

28

notice that was reasonably calculated to apprise the Settlement Class of the terms of 1 Settlement, of the proposed distribution plan, of Class Counsel's application for an 2 award of attorney's fees, costs and expenses incurred in connection with the Action, 3 of Settlement Class Members' right either to request exclusion from the Settlement 4 Class or to object to the Settlement, the plan of allocation, or Class Counsel's 5 application for an award of attorney's fees, costs and expenses, and application for 6 an incentive award Plaintiff, and of the right of Settlement Class Members to appear 7 at the Final Approval Hearing; (iv) constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice 8 to all persons entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; (v) was the best 9 notice practicable under the circumstances; and (vi) fully satisfied the notice 10 requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States 11 Constitution (including the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the 12 Constitution), and all other applicable law and rules. 13

4 4. The Court has considered any objections to the Settlement submitted
pursuant to Rule 23(e)(5) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court finds
and concludes that each of the objections is without merit, and they are hereby
overruled.

5. In light of the substantial benefits provided to the Settlement Class by 18 the Settlement, the complexity, expense and possible duration of further litigation of 19 the Action, including any possible appeals, the risks of establishing liability and 20 21 damages, and the costs of continued litigation, the Court hereby fully and finally approves the Settlement as set forth in the Stipulation in all respects, and finds that 22 23 the Settlement is in all respects fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of Plaintiff, the Settlement Class, and the Settlement Class Members. This 24 Court further finds that the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation is the result of 25 arm's-length negotiations by highly experienced counsel representing the interests 26 of their respective settling Parties. 27

28

6. The one entity that timely and validly requested exclusion from the
 Settlement Class identified in the Declaration of Eric Kierkegaard, filed on April 10,
 2017, is excluded. The entity (Gusto Records, Inc.) is neither included in nor bound
 by this Order and Final Judgment and is not entitled to any recovery from the
 settlement proceeds (including not being entitled to any recovery from the
 Settlement Fund or Royalty Program) obtained through this Settlement.

7. With the exception of the California Appeal and Florida Appeal and for 7 any actions necessary to enforce the Settlement, during the Term, the institution and 8 prosecution, by any Settlement Class Member, either directly, individually, 9 representatively, derivatively or in any other capacity, by whatever means, of any 10 other action against the Covenantees in any court, or in any agency or other 11 authority or arbitral or other forum wherever located, asserting any of the claims in 12 Paragraph III.D (Covenant Not to Sue) of the Stipulation is barred, enjoined and 13 restrained. 14

8. The Administrator is authorized to distribute from the Settlement Fund
to Settlement Class Members the amounts that Class Counsel and the Administrator
have determined are owed to each Settlement Class Member under the terms of the
approved plan of allocation.

9. The Royalty Administrator is authorized to distribute from the Royalty
 Fund to Settlement Class Members from time to time the amounts that Class
 Counsel and the Royalty Administrator have determined are owed to each
 Settlement Class Member under the terms of the approved Royalty Program.

- 10. Settlement Class Members are permanently barred, enjoined and
 restrained from making any claims against the Settlement Fund and Royalty Fund,
 and all persons, including the Administrator, Royalty Administrator, Plaintiff and
 Class Counsel and Defendant and Defendants' counsel, involved in the processing
- **.** -

of distributions from the Settlement Fund and Royalty Program are released and
 discharged from any claims arising out of such involvement.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53, the Court hereby 11. 3 appoints Magistrate Judge ______ to serve as Special Master for the 4 specific role provided for in Section VI.C of the Stipulation. Any specific challenge 5 to ownership or control must be brought within one hundred and twenty (120) days 6 after a claimant has made a claim to a specific Identified Pre-1972 Sound 7 Recording(s) or one hundred and twenty (120) days after another party has made a 8 conflicting claim to specific Identified Pre-1972 Sound Recording, whichever comes 9 later. All decisions by the Special Master may be appealed to the Court. 10

11 12. Neither the Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed
pursuant to the Settlement, may be deemed or used as an admission of wrongdoing
in any civil, criminal, administrative, or other proceeding in any jurisdiction.

14 13. The Settlement Fund Escrow Account and Royalty Fund Escrow
15 Account established by Plaintiff and Sirius XM, are each approved as a Qualified
16 Settlement Fund pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury
17 Regulations promulgated thereunder.

18 14. Plaintiffs are authorized to pay from the escrow account established in
19 Section VII of the Stipulation all reasonable Notice and administrative costs to the
20 Administrator and Royalty Administrator, including all costs and expenses incurred
21 and expected to be incurred by the Administrator and Royalty Administrator, and all
22 costs and expenses incurred to date.

15. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in
accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, then this Judgment shall be rendered
null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and
shall be vacated; and in such event, all orders entered and covenants delivered in

- 27
- 28

connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in
 accordance with the Stipulation.

3 16. The Action is dismissed with prejudice as to Sirius XM and, except as
4 provided in Section VII of the Stipulation, without costs to either party.

This Court has previously granted summary judgment in favor of 5 17. Plaintiff and against Sirius XM on the Performance Right Issue and the Commerce 6 Clause Issue. See e.g., Dkt. 117 (Order granting Plaintiff's Motion for Summary 7 Judgment); Dkt. 175 (Order denying Motion for Reconsideration). A bona fide 8 justiciable dispute remains between the Parties as to the Performance Right Issue 9 and the Commerce Clause Issue that neither Party has waived by entering into the 10 Settlement. The Parties retain all procedural and substantive rights to proceed with 11 the Florida Appeal and any further proceedings to the United States Supreme Court, 12 and, except for Sirius XM's agreement not to appeal this Court's class certification 13 rulings, to proceed with the California Appeal and any further proceedings to the 14 United States Supreme Court, to resolve those two discrete issues. This limited 15 agreement gives both Sirius XM and Plaintiff a considerable financial stake in the 16 appellate resolution of these two questions. 17

a. In the event that Plaintiff Prevails on the Performance Right
Issue in the California Appeal and/or Florida Appeal, Sirius XM shall pay into the
Settlement Fund Escrow Account an additional five million dollars (\$5 million) per
appeal, for a total up to ten million (\$10 million) dollars.

b. In the event that Sirius XM Prevails on the Performance Right
Issue in the California Appeal and/or Florida Appeal, the royalty rate that Sirius XM
must pay pursuant to the Royalty Program shall be reduced by 2% points per appeal
(e.g., from 3.5% to 1.5%), except that the reduction shall be 1.5% for the Florida
Appeal (e.g., from 3.5% to 2.0%).

- 27
- 28

c. In the event that Sirius XM Prevails on the Commerce Clause
Issue in the Eleventh Circuit, Ninth Circuit, or United States Supreme Court, then
Sirius XM's going-forward royalty obligations to eligible Settlement Class
Members pursuant to the Royalty Program shall immediately terminate. In such an
event, the termination of Sirius XM's royalty obligation shall be prospective only
and no funds previously disbursed to Settlement Class Members under the Royalty
Program shall revert back to Sirius XM.

d. In the event that Sirius XM Prevails on the Commerce Clause
Issue in the Eleventh Circuit or Ninth Circuit, but Plaintiff Prevails in the United
States Supreme Court on the Commerce Clause Issue, then the termination of Sirius
XM's royalty obligation shall be null and void and Sirius XM shall pay all royalty
obligations owed to eligible Class Members under the Royalty Program from
January 1, 2018 through January 1, 2028.

14 18. The resolution of the Performance Right Issue and/or Commerce
15 Clause Issue in the California Appeal, New York Appeal and/or Florida Appeal,
16 shall not operate to terminate the Settlement and, regardless of the pendency and
17 outcome of those two issues in these appeals, Sirius XM's obligation to fund the \$25
18 million Settlement Payment shall be in full force and effect as set forth in the
19 Stipulation and those funds may be disbursed from the Settlement Fund Escrow
20 Account pursuant to its terms.

19. A separate order shall be entered regarding Class Counsel's application
for attorneys' fees and payment of expenses and incentive awards as allowed by the
Court. A separate order shall be entered regarding the proposed plan of allocation.
Such orders shall in no way disturb or affect this Judgment and shall be considered
separate and apart from this Judgment.

26 20. Without further order of the Court, the settling Parties may agree to
27 reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Settlement.

28

1	21. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court
2	hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (i) implementation of the Settlement; (ii)
3	the allowance, disallowance or adjustment of any Class Member's claim an any
4	award or distribution of the Settlement Fund and/or the Royalty Program; (iii)
5	disposition of the Settlement Fund and Royalty Fund; (iv) hearing and determining
6	applications for attorneys' fees, costs, interest and payment of expenses in the
7	Action; (v) all settling Parties for the purpose of construing, enforcing and
8	administering the Settlement and this Judgment; and (vi) other matters related or
9	ancillary to the foregoing.

10 22. The Court finds that this Judgment adjudicates all the claims, rights and
11 liabilities of the Parties, is final and shall be immediately appealable.

12 23. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Judgment and the
13 Court directs immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court.

7

15 16

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: _____

By: PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ

PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ United States District Judge